

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,616	02/10/2004	Howard Jason Harrison	81328.0003	3389
29693 75	590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
WILEY, REIN & FIELDING, LLP			LE, TAN	
ATTN: PATENT ADMINISTRATION 1776 K. STREET N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20006	·	3632	
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,616	HARRISON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tan Le	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5,9-11 and 13-17 is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,6-8 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/17/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the first office action for serial number 10/774,616. This application contains 17 claims numbered 1-17.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of Fig. 1, 9, 10

The species of Fig. 2, 9, 10

The specie of Fig. 3, 9,10

The specie of Fig. 4, 9-10

The specie of Fig. 5, 9,10

The species of Fig. 6, 9,10

The specie of Fig. 7, 9, 10

The species of Fig. 8, 9,10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3632

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. David J. Kulik on June 23, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Fig. 1 claims 1-2, 6, 7, 8 and 12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-5, 9-11 and 13-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/774,616

Art Unit: 3632

An examination as follows:

- 5. The IDS filed 5/27/04 has been considered.
- 6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: arms or appendages; a holding device; a support structure (claim 1 and 7), an element for contacting the bridge part of the eyeglass (claim 6 and 12). Corrected drawing sheets and amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Figures 7-8 of the drawings are also objected because Figs. 7-8 appear to be black and white photographs and they are not sufficient quality to determine.

The photographs must be of sufficient quality so that all details in the photographs are producible in the printed patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 .

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1-2, 6, 7-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected because there is an inconsistency between the language in the preamble and certain portions in the body of the claims, thereby making the scope of the claim unclear. Applicant is required to clarify what the claim is intended to be drawn to i.e, either the doll figure alone or the combination of the doll figure and the eyeglass, and the language of the claim be consistent with the intent.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the doll" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the body of the doll figure" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 6, 7-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,309,016 to Aloisi.

Art Unit: 3632

Alosi discloses all the subject matter of claims 1-2, 6, 7-8 and 12 as evidently shown in Figs. 1-6 or 8 for example.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,568,872 to Hinnant, Sr.

4,062,144 to Holden et al.

3,325,939 to Ryan et al.

5,921,409 to Gerber et al.

5,000,410 to Beavers

The above patents disclose various types of eyeglass holders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/774,616

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The

Tan Le June 23, 2004

LEGLIE A. BRAUN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

LESLIE A. BRAUN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER